





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,856	12/26/2001	Dairi Kubo	216376US0PCT	8457	
22850 7	7590 07/15/2003				
•	•	ND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAMINER		
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			MILLER, EDWARD A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3641		
				DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Λ				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
*	10/018,856	KUBO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Edward A. Miller	3641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	A <u>pril 2003</u> .					
2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	o nanding in the application					
4) Claim(s) 1,2,8-16,20,21,24-26 and 28-40 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-40</u> is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	ubject to restriction and/or election	on requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/018,856 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention.

These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

The claimed combination of 5AT, GN and SrN, with an additive, wherein the additive is:

- a) SiN, SiC (grit?)
- b) hydrotalcite
- c) organic binder, e.g., cellulosic
- d) polyacrylic
- e) silane

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 2. The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1-2 and 33-35.
- 3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: It is believed that the broad proposition of providing an additive to a gas generating composition is well known in the art. Under PCT Rule 13.2, unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or

Application/Control Number: 10/018,856

Art Unit: 3641

more special technical features. The term "special technical features" is defined as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

4. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-2, 8-16, 20-21, 24-26 and 28-35 drawn to a composition.

Group II, claim(s) 36-37, drawn to an apparatus.

Group III, claim(s) 38, drawn to a method of generating gas.

Group IV, claim(s) 39-40, drawn to a method of making.

- 5. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Under PCT Rule 13.2, unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more special technical features. The term "special technical features" is defined as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. Here, it does not appear that the broad composition as set forth above, with an "additive" defines patentable subject matter. Thus, there is no common special technical feature which defines over the prior art. Further, the composition of broad claim 1 of Group I is not made by the Group IV method. Also, an apparatus of Group II does not comprise a composition of Group I.
- 6. Newly submitted claims 36-40 are directed to an invention that lacks unity with the invention originally claimed for the reasons set forth above.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 36-40 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a nonelected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,856

Art Unit: 3641

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee

required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. Any inquiry concerning either this or an earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Edward A. Miller at (703) 306-4163. Examiner Miller may normally be reached Monday-Thursday, from 10 AM to 7 PM.

If attempts to reach Examiner Miller by telephone are unsuccessful, his supervisor Mr. Carone can be reached at (703) 306-4198. The Group fax number is (703) 305-7687.

If there is no answer, or for any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the application status, please call the Group receptionist at (703) 308-1113.

Miller/em July 14, 2003

EDWARD A. MILLER PRIMARY EXAMINED

Page 4